

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/460,920 12/14/1999 | | BETH ANNE PIPER | LA0046A | 3115 | | |
| 23914 | 7590 | 03/22/2002 | | | | |
| STEPHEN B. DAVIS | | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT | | | , | COOK, RI | COOK, REBECCA | |
| P O BOX 4000 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | ., | | | 1614 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/460,920

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Rebecca Cook

1614

Piper

THE REPLY FILED Feb 28, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. а

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| rejec alloy | efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ction under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for vance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|----------------------------|--|
| • - | THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] |
| a) | The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| b) | In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| e: a _[se | xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate xtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1. 🛛 | A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Feb 28, 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. 🗆 | requisite fees. |
| 3.□ | |
| | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); |
| |) U they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) |) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: |
| 4. 🛭 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, paragraph two |
| _ (| |
| 5. 🗆 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 6. 🗓 | The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons given in Paper No. 11. Furthermore, the newly submitted IDS raises new issues that would require further consideration. |
| 7. 🗆 | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 8. X | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): |
| | Claim(s) allowed: none |
| | Claim(s) objected to: none |
| | Claim(s) rejected: 37-72 |
| 9. 🗆 | The control 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| | The proposed drawing correction filed on a) \square has b) \square has not been approved by the Examiner. |
| 10. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1614